

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,434	ZHONG ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BRADLEY DUFFY	1643	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Brad Duffy. (3) _____.

(2) Patricia L. Chisholm. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's Amendment and the mailing of a Quayle action to allow Applicant to address sequence compliance issues present in the specification, which would place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached with respect to the proposed amendments. Ms. Chisholm authorized entry of the proposed Examiner's Amendment.